



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/524,520 | 10/31/2005 | Hans Loibner | 4518-0108PUS1 | 3426 |
| 2292 7590 12/10/2009 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | | |
| EXAMINER DUFFY, BRADLEY | | | | |
| ART UNIT 1643 | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| NOTIFICATION DATE 12/10/2009 | | DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

| | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/524,520 | | LOIBNER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | BRADLEY DUFFY | | 1643 | |

All Participants:

(1) BRAD DUFFY.

(2) Leonard Svensson.

Date of Interview: 30 November 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

na

Claims discussed:

na

Prior art documents discussed:

na

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In light of the interview conducted on 8/27/09, the Examiner contacted Mr. Svensson to determine if Applicant still wanted to file a supplemental amendment before examination of the pending claims occurred. Mr. Svensson left a message with the Examiner on 12/1/09 that Applicant was not going to file an amendment and to proceed with examination of the pending claims.